New Study by Stanford Shows Lockdowns Are Not Effective in Stopping the Spread of COVID-19

A recent study by four medical professors at Stanford has found no evidence that "Non-Pharmaceutical interventions" work to prevent the spread of the coronavirus.

Non-Pharmaceutical interventions are lockdowns, social distancing, business closures, and stay at home orders. The authors of the study used data from England, France, Germany, Iran. Italy, Netherlands, Spain, South Korea, Sweden, and the United States.

Related: *Natural Coronavirus Prevention*

This study is not the first of its kind. Numerous studies have been published showing that lockdowns do not prevent the spread of the virus.

> *"Lockdowns and Closures vs COVID – 19: COVID Wins by Surjit S Bhalla, executive director for India of the International Monetary Fund. 'For the first time in human history, lockdowns were used as a strategy to counter the virus. While conventional wisdom, to date, has been that lockdowns were successful (ranging from mild to spectacular) we find not one piece of evidence supporting this claim.'"*
>
> *New Stanford Study Claims Lockdowns Are Not Effective To Stop Spread of COVID*

Additionally, many studies have shown that the downsides to lockdowns far outweigh any benefits that there may be. The lockdowns have taken a tremendous toll on mental health in

both children and adults, causing an increase in suicides, overdoses, bankruptcies, and divorces.

> *Lockdowns cannot eradicate the disease or protect the public…They lead to only economic meltdown, social despair and direct harms to health from other causes…Scientifically, medically and morally lockdowns have no justification in dealing with Covid.*
>
> *Dr. John Lee, a former Professor of Pathology and NHS consultant pathologist*